

TABLE OF CONTENTS

0.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
<i>Critical illness and identity theft score highly for both relevance to and concern among consumers.....</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>The perceived relevance of mobile gadget insurance increased most rapidly between 2007 and 2011... ..</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>... with the degree of concern moving up most quickly for the risks associated with bicycle insurance.....</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Arguably, children's health and critical illness insurance are the most 'under-sold' forms of cover... ..</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>... with breakdown recovery, furniture, garden and jewellery insurance at the other end of the spectrum</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>For 13 of the 40 policy types, packaged or substitute cover is more important than stand-alone cover.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>... although five can only ever be acquired in a stand-alone format.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Purchase of stand-alone cover has increased most strongly for pet insurance.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>... and (quite predictably) has fallen most precipitously for credit card payment protection insurance.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Propensity to take out stand-alone unemployment insurance peaks in the 25-34 age group.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Extended warranties for computing devices are bought quite evenly across all levels of household income.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Londoners are more likely to acquire many types of cover than their counterparts elsewhere in the UK... ..</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>... especially for policies related to personal health and financial commitments</i>	<i>10</i>
1.0 INTRODUCTION	11
Scope and rationale	11
<i>Over a half of respondents deem the majority of risk types covered to be relevant to their circumstances... ..</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>... although, in many cases, little or no published research exists to illustrate consumer behaviour</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>The Risk Metrics research program adds value in four key respects</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>... and is relevant to a variety of financial and other affinity partners as well as insurance providers</i>	<i>12</i>
Changes relative to the 2007 survey.....	12
<i>Nine brand new policy types are covered by the latest survey.....</i>	<i>12</i>
Rounding differences	13
Finaccord	13
<i>Risk Metrics and other consumer research studies focused on the UK.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>UK affinity and partnership marketing publications</i>	<i>14</i>
2.0 RISK OVERVIEW	15
Introduction	15
<i>For most of the insurance types investigated, the results for 2011 can be compared with those for 2007</i>	<i>15</i>
Relevance of underlying risks.....	16
<i>Insurance types.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Consumers are most likely to perceive defects to computing devices as a relevant risk... ..</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>...with risks related to ownership of a musical instrument emerging as the least relevant.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Risks associated with ownership of mobile gadgets have seen the greatest rise in perceived relevance</i>	<i>18</i>
Degree of concern caused by underlying risks	22
<i>Insurance types.....</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Among the relevant audience, risks to children's health occasion the highest rates of concern</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>... with respondents also showing relatively high concern about their own health and that of their pets.....</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Generally speaking, consumers are least concerned about missing events for which they bought a ticket</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Concern has risen most rapidly for the risks associated with ownership of a bicycle.....</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Consumers seem to be less worried about identity theft than they were in 2007.....</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Risks related to home ownership have seen an increase in respondent anxiety</i>	<i>29</i>
Overall policy take-up rates	30
<i>Among all consumers</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>Only breakdown recovery insurance is held by more than a half of all survey participants</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>... although a further four types of cover have been acquired by more than a third of the sample.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>Tyre insurance registers the weakest overall take-up rate across all consumers.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>The overall take-up rate for pet insurance has advanced most rapidly since 2007.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>... although take-up rates for 16 out of 30 forms of cover have fallen back.....</i>	<i>32</i>

<i>Among consumers for whom underlying risks are relevant</i>	35
<i>14 of the 40 types of cover considered are held by more than a third of the relevant audience</i>	35
<i>Since 2007, take-up rates for different forms of home emergency cover have tended to move up strongly</i>	35
<i>... with those for loan and credit card payment protection cover, as expected, having both declined rapidly</i>	35
<i>Several types of insurance appear under-sold relative to the concern that the related risks cause</i>	37
Stand-alone policy take-up rates	41
<i>Among all consumers</i>	41
<i>Insurance to cover the risks analysed can often be acquired on a packaged basis</i>	41
<i>... which is priced at less per policy by insurance underwriters than stand-alone cover</i>	41
<i>Consumers are most likely to acquire breakdown recovery insurance in a stand-alone format</i>	41
<i>... whereas purchase protection insurance is only ever available as a packaged concept</i>	42
<i>In terms of their rise in stand-alone take-up rate, two forms of home emergency cover perform well</i>	43
<i>Among consumers for whom underlying risks are relevant</i>	46
<i>Within the relevant audience, the stand-alone take-up rate for musical instrument insurance is much higher</i>	46
<i>There is a stand-alone market, albeit sometimes small, in almost every instance</i>	48
3.0 RISK ANALYSIS	52
Introduction	52
Risks related to personal health	53
<i>Children's health</i>	53
<i>Critical illness</i>	55
<i>Dental expenses</i>	57
<i>Medical or hospital treatment</i>	59
<i>Permanent disability</i>	61
<i>Personal accidents</i>	63
<i>Medical expenses</i>	65
<i>Sports-related accidents</i>	67
Risks related to financial commitments.....	69
<i>Mortgage payments</i>	69
<i>Loan / car finance payments</i>	72
<i>Credit card payments</i>	74
<i>Bill payments</i>	76
<i>Short-term accident or illness</i>	78
<i>Short-term unemployment</i>	80
<i>Legal expenses</i>	82
Risks related to personal possessions (accidental damage, loss or theft)	85
<i>Bicycles</i>	85
<i>Furniture</i>	87
<i>Gardens, garden plants and garden equipment and furniture</i>	89
<i>Glasses or spectacles</i>	91
<i>Handbags or briefcases</i>	93
<i>Jewellery</i>	95
<i>Event tickets</i>	97
<i>Mobile gadgets</i>	99
<i>Mobile telephones</i>	101
<i>Musical instruments</i>	103
<i>Pets</i>	105
<i>Valuable purchases</i>	109
Risks related to personal possessions (mechanical or technical defects).....	111
<i>Audio-visual appliances</i>	111
<i>Computing devices</i>	113
<i>Kitchen appliances</i>	115
Risks related to personal security	117

<i>Cards, keys and personal documents</i>	117
<i>Identity</i>	119
Risks related to home ownership	121
<i>Drainage, plumbing and water supply pipes</i>	121
<i>Electricity supply</i>	124
<i>Gas boilers, gas supply pipes and gas central heating</i>	127
Risks related to car ownership	130
<i>Cars (breakdown)</i>	130
<i>Cars (mechanical / technical defects)</i>	133
<i>Cars (financial shortfall)</i>	135
<i>Cars (MOT failure)</i>	137
<i>Car tyres</i>	139
4.0 SEGMENT ANALYSIS	141
Introduction	141
Risks related to personal health	142
<i>Children’s health</i>	142
<i>Critical illness</i>	144
<i>Dental expenses</i>	146
<i>Medical or hospital treatment</i>	148
<i>Permanent disability</i>	150
<i>Personal accidents</i>	152
<i>Medical expenses</i>	154
<i>Sport-related accidents</i>	156
Risks related to financial commitments.....	158
<i>Mortgage payments</i>	158
<i>Loan / car finance payments</i>	160
<i>Credit card payments</i>	162
<i>Bill payments</i>	164
<i>Short-term accident or illness</i>	166
<i>Short-term unemployment</i>	168
<i>Legal expenses</i>	170
Risks related to personal possessions (accidental damage, loss or theft)	172
<i>Bicycles</i>	172
<i>Furniture</i>	174
<i>Gardens, garden plants and garden equipment and furniture</i>	176
<i>Glasses or spectacles</i>	178
<i>Handbags or briefcases</i>	180
<i>Jewellery</i>	182
<i>Event tickets</i>	184
<i>Mobile gadgets</i>	186
<i>Mobile telephones</i>	188
<i>Musical instruments</i>	190
<i>Pets</i>	192
<i>Valuable purchases</i>	194
Risks related to personal possessions (mechanical or technical defects).....	196
<i>Audio-visual appliances</i>	196
<i>Computing devices</i>	198
<i>Kitchen appliances</i>	200
Risks related to personal security	202
<i>Cards, keys and documents</i>	202
<i>Identity</i>	204

Risks related to home ownership	206
<i>Drainage, plumbing and water supply pipes</i>	206
<i>Electricity supply</i>	208
<i>Gas boilers, gas supply pipes and gas central heating</i>	210
Risks related to car ownership	212
<i>Cars (breakdown)</i>	212
<i>Cars (mechanical / technical defects)</i>	214
<i>Cars (financial shortfall)</i>	216
<i>Cars (MOT failure)</i>	218
<i>Car tyres</i>	220
5.0 APPENDIX	222
Research methodology, sample and structure.....	222

LIST OF GRAPHICS / TABLES

0.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Plotting relevance to respondents against degree of concern to respondents reveals considerable variations in the potential for the 40 types of cover analysed	3
Relative to the degree of concern caused by the underlying risks, around 20 out of 40 forms of cover appear 'under-sold'	4
For most types of cover, stand-alone purchase is more common than acquisition via a packaged or substitute policy	6
Different types of insurance, warranty and assistance display very different take-up rates by age group ...	7
Annual household income also has a significant bearing on take-up rates for different insurance, warranty and assistance products	8
For most risk groups, the greater youth and affluence of respondents living in London helps to product insurance take-up rates that are higher than elsewhere in the UK	9
1.0 INTRODUCTION	11
2.0 RISK OVERVIEW	15
Ranking of insurance types by degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	17
Ranking of insurance types by change in degree of relevance to consumers, 2007 to 2011	19
Plot of insurance types by relevance among all consumers in 2011 versus change in relevance from 2007 to 2011	20
Ranking of insurance groups by change in average degree of relevance to consumers, 2007 to 2011	21
Ranking of insurance types by degree of concern caused by underlying risks among consumers for whom the risk is relevant, 2011	23
Ranking of insurance types by change in degree of concern caused by underlying risks among consumers for whom the risk is relevant, 2007 to 2011.....	25
Plot of insurance types by degree of concern caused by underlying risks among consumers for whom the risk is relevant in 2011 versus change in degree of concern from 2007 to 2011	26
Plot of relevance of and degree of concern caused by underlying risks among all consumers, 2011	28
Ranking of insurance groups by change in degree of concern caused by underlying risks among consumers for whom the risk is relevant, 2007 to 2011.....	29
Ranking of insurance types by overall take-up rates among all consumers, 2011	31
Ranking of insurance types by change in overall take-up rates among all consumers, 2007 to 2011	33
Plot of insurance types by overall take-up rates among all consumers in 2011 versus change in overall take-up rates from 2007 to 2011	34
Ranking of insurance types by overall take-up rates among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant, 2011.....	36
Plot of degree of concern caused by underlying risks and overall insurance take-up rates among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant, 2011	38
Ranking of insurance types by overall take-up rates among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant, 2007 to 2011	39
Plot of insurance types by overall take-up rates among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant in 2011 versus change in overall take-up rates from 2007 to 2011	40
Ranking of insurance types by take-up rates for stand-alone cover among all consumers, 2011	42
Ranking of insurance types by change in take-up rates for stand-alone cover among all consumers, 2007 to 2011	44
Plot of insurance types by stand-alone take-up rates among all consumers in 2011 versus change in stand-alone take-up rates from 2007 to 2011.....	45
Ranking of insurance types by take-up rates for stand-alone cover among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant, 2011	47
Plot of consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant with stand-alone cover and those within the same group with packaged / substitute cover, 2011	49

Ranking of insurance types by change in take-up rates for stand-alone cover among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant, 2007 to 2011	50
Plot of insurance types by stand-alone take-up rates among consumers for whom the underlying risks are relevant in 2011 versus change in stand-alone take-up rates from 2007 to 2011	51
3.0 RISK ANALYSIS	52
Children’s health: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	53
Children’s health insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	54
Critical illness: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	55
Critical illness insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	56
Dental expenses: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	57
Dental insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	58
Medical or hospital treatment: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	59
Health / hospital cash plans: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	60
Permanent disability: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	61
Long-term income protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	62
Personal accidents: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	63
Personal accident insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	64
Medical expenses: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	65
Private medical insurance: respondent penetration rates, 2011	66
Sports-related accidents: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	67
Sports accident insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	68
Mortgage payments: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	69
Mortgage term life / mortgage payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	71
Loan / car finance payments: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	72
Loan payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	73
Credit card payments: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	74
Credit card payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	75
Bill payments: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	76
Bill payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	77
Short-term accident or illness: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	78
Short-term income protection insurance (covering accident and / or illness): respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	79
Short-term unemployment: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	80
Short-term income protection insurance (covering unemployment): respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	81
Legal expenses: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	82
Legal expenses insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	84
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of bicycles: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	85
Bicycle insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	86

Accidental damage or defects to furniture: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	87
Furniture insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	88
Accidental damage to gardens and accidental damage to or theft of garden plants, equipment or furniture: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	89
Garden insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	90
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of glasses or spectacles: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	91
Glasses / spectacles insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	92
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of handbags or briefcases: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	93
Handbag / briefcase insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	94
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of jewellery: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	95
Jewellery insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	96
Inability to attend an event: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	97
Missed event / ticket cancellation insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	98
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of mobile gadgets: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	99
Mobile gadget insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	100
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of mobile telephones: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	101
Mobile telephone insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	102
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of musical instruments: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	103
Musical instrument insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	104
Veterinary expenses associated with ownership of pets: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	105
Pet insurance: respondent penetration rates split by type of pet cover, 2011	107
Pet insurance: respondent penetration rates split between owners of cats, dogs and other animals, 2011	108
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of any kind of high value purchase: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	109
Purchase protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	110
Mechanical or technical defects to audio-visual appliances: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	111
Extended warranties for audio-visual appliances: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	112
Mechanical or technical defects to computing devices: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	113
Extended warranties for computing devices: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	114
Mechanical or technical defects to kitchen appliances: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	115

Extended warranties for kitchen appliances: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	116
Loss or theft of cards, keys or valuable documents: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	117
Card protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	118
Identity theft: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	119
Identity protection insurance / assistance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	120
Drainage, plumbing and water supply pipe emergencies or faults: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	121
Drainage / plumbing / water supply pipe insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	123
Electrical emergencies or faults: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011.....	124
Electrical emergency and breakdown insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	126
Gas boiler, gas supply pipe or gas central heating emergencies or faults: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	127
Gas boiler / gas supply pipe / gas central heating insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	129
Car breakdown: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	130
Breakdown recovery insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011.....	132
Mechanical or technical defects to cars: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	133
Extended warranties for cars: respondent penetration rates, 2011	134
Financial shortfall associated with ownership of cars: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	135
GAP / shortfall protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover, 2011	136
MOT failure: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011	137
MOT insurance: respondent penetration rates, 2011	138
Punctures or other damage to tyres: level of concern and degree of relevance to respondents, 2011....	139
Tyre insurance: respondent penetration rates, 2011	140
4.0 SEGMENT ANALYSIS.....	141
Children’s health: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011.....	142
Children’s health insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011.....	143
Critical illness: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	144
Critical illness insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	145
Dental expenses: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	146
Dental insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	147
Medical or hospital treatment: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	148
Health / hospital cash plans: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011.....	149
Permanent disability: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011.....	150
Long-term income protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	151
Personal accidents: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011.....	152
Personal accident insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	153

Medical expenses: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	154
Private health insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	155
Sport-related accidents: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 ..	156
Sports accident insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	157
Mortgage payments: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	158
Mortgage life / mortgage payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	159
Loan / car finance payments: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	160
Loan payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	161
Credit card payments: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	162
Credit card payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	163
Bill payments: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	164
Bill payment protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	165
Short-term accident or illness: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	166
Short-term income protection insurance (covering accident and / or illness): respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	167
Short-term unemployment: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	168
Short-term income protection insurance (covering unemployment): respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	169
Legal expenses: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	170
Legal expenses insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	171
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of bicycles: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	172
Bicycle insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	173
Accidental damage or defects to furniture: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	174
Furniture insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	175
Accidental damage to gardens and accidental damage to or theft of garden plants, equipment or furniture: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	176
Garden insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	177
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of glasses or spectacles: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	178
Glasses / spectacles insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	179
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of handbags or briefcases: level of concern and degree of relevance split by consumer, 2011 segment, 2011	180
Handbag / briefcase insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	181
Accidental damage to or loss or theft of jewellery: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	182
Jewellery insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	183

Inability to attend an event: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 184

Missed event / ticket cancellation insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 185

Accidental damage to or loss or theft of mobile gadgets: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 186

Mobile gadget insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 187

Accidental damage to or loss or theft of mobile telephones: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 188

Mobile telephone insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 189

Accidental damage to or loss or theft of musical instruments: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 190

Musical instrument insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 191

Veterinary expenses associated with ownership of pets: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 192

Pet insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 193

Accidental damage to or loss or theft of any kind of high value purchase: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 194

Purchase protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 195

Mechanical or technical defects to audio-visual appliances: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 196

Extended warranties for audio-visual appliances: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 197

Mechanical or technical defects to computing devices: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 198

Extended warranties for computing devices: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 199

Mechanical or technical defects to kitchen appliances: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 200

Extended warranties for kitchen appliances: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 201

Loss or theft of cards, keys or valuable documents: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 202

Card protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 203

Identity theft: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 204

Identity theft insurance / assistance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 205

Drainage, plumbing or water supply pipe emergencies or faults: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 206

Drainage / plumbing / water supply pipe insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 207

Electrical emergencies or faults: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 208

Electrical emergency and breakdown insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 209

Gas boiler, gas supply pipe or gas central heating emergencies or faults: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011 210

Gas boiler / gas supply pipe / gas central heating insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011 211

Car breakdown: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	212
Breakdown recovery insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	213
Mechanical or technical defects to cars: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	214
Extended warranties for cars: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	215
Financial shortfall associated with ownership of cars: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	216
GAP / shortfall protection insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	217
MOT failure: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	218
MOT insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	219
Punctures or other damage to tyres: level of concern and degree of relevance split by respondent segment, 2011	220
Tyre insurance: respondent penetration rates split between stand-alone purchase and packaged cover and by respondent segment, 2011	221
5.0 APPENDIX	222
Sample breakdown by age group and annual household income	222
Sample breakdown by geography and type of bank account held	223